

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,234	03/29/2004	Nobuyo Sakai	501606.108029 2318			
29540 7	7590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER			
PITNEY HARDIN LLP			BARRETT, SUZA	BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO		
7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3676			
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/812,2	234	SAKAI, NOBUYO				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Suzanne	Dino Barrett	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no e ion. period will apply and v statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)[⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 June 2006						
·		This action is	non-final					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	• ,					
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
	•)□ objected to by the	Examiner				
/-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	•		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F)-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	/	6) Other:	•	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be in one paragraph form. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, the following lack proper antecedent: in line 3, "said tailpiece"; line 4, "cables drawn out". In claim 4, line 2, the following lacks proper antecedent basis: "either one of the associated locking parts". Note that claim 5 is included herein merely because of its dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imedio Ocana 2001/0005998. Imedio Ocana teaches an electric cylinder casing 41,42 for

Application/Control Number: 10/812,234 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

actuating a door lock comprising a motor 51 having a shaft member 30 which engages a rotary dish plate 28 having a tailpiece 26 associated therewith and projections 281 which engage with recesses 233 on a clutch member disc 23,27 to actuate a bolt member. The lock casing further provides a stationary can in the circular opening shown in element 41 of Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imedio Ocana '998. While Imedio Ocana fails to teach an inclined angle on the rotary plate and clutch disc elements to provide a ratchet means, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the clutch art to provide a ratchet means for engaging members to allow for a free-wheeling if desired. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to provide the clutch and dish plate members of Imedio Ocana with inclined angle surfaces to provide a well known ratchet means as desired.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth above, the rejections in view of Imedio-Ocana still stand. It is

Art Unit: 3676

further noted that several issues remain under 35 USC 112 as reiterated above.

Applicant's argument that the reference teaches the motor being disposed perpendicular to the operating members, and not linearly, is most since the claims do not recite that the motor is linearly disposed (see claim 3, lines 6-7 which does not list the motor as one of the elements being linearly disposed). Accordingly, claims 3-5 stand rejected.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

sdb